



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/518,677	12/17/2004	Yoshiyuki Kajiwara	7217/72093	2677
	530	7590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
	LERNER, D. KRUMHOLZ	AVID, LITTENBERC	<b>)</b> ,	SNIEZEK,	
		VENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WESTFIELD,	NJ 07090		2627	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,677	KAJIWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew L. Sniezek	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by the state of the stat	TON.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 January 2005					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·*				
Disposition of Claims	<del></del>	,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	20					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
<u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		instant to but the Functions				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2004	•	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	ponto hovo hoon rossivad					
<ul><li>1.  Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority docum</li></ul>		nation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu	•	eived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ' ' '	eived				
dec the attached detailed office action for a	ist of the defined copies not reac	Sivou.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ					
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	nii Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	.a.r. dono approación (r. 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/518,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 2651

# **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. It is noted that applicant discusses these figures as "conventional". The word 'conventional" is synonymous with "prior art". See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,677 Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

2. Examiner has review the art that was presented on 12/17/04 related to the corresponding PCT application.

## **Priority**

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melas (U.S. Patent 6,678,105) in view of Tamburelli (U.S. Patent 4,288,872).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 2651

Melas teaches a signal processing apparatus and corresponding method that includes an analog filter (202) and both an linear equalizer (208) and a nonlinear equalizer (214). Claims 1 and 5 differ from this arrangement in that equalizing filters as claimed are connected in parallel with one another instead of in series as taught by Melas. It is well known in the equalization art to place a nonlinear equalizer in parallel with a linear equalizer as taught by Tamburelli in which a resulting pulse can be readily quantized (column 1, lines 60-68) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the series arrangement of Melas into a parallel arrangement as taught by Tamburelli so that a resulting pulse can be readily quantized.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Agarossi et al. (U.S.Patent 6,600,794) teaches the use of a non-linear Volterra equalizer in a similar environment.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-4 and 6-8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claimed apparatus as set forth in claim 2/1 and corresponding signal processing method as set forth in claim 6/5 that additionally includes a phase interpolation means/step and phase locked loop means/step that are used to process the outputs of the first adaptive equalizing means/step and the second adaptive

Art Unit: 2651

equalizing means/step (for correcting for non-linear distortion) as set forth are neither taught by nor an obvious variation of the art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone number is 571-272-7563. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew L. Sniezek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2651 Page 5

A.L.S. 3/13/06